

Twisting perturbed parafermions

A.V. BELITSKY

*Department of Physics, Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ 85287-1504, USA*

Abstract

The near-collinear expansion of scattering amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at strong coupling is governed by the dynamics of strings propagating on the five sphere. The pentagon transitions in the operator product expansion which systematize the series get reformulated in terms of matrix elements of branch-point twist operators in the two-dimensional $O(6)$ nonlinear sigma model. The facts that the latter is an asymptotically free field theory and that there exists no local realization of twist fields prevents one from explicit calculation of their scaling dimensions and operator product expansion coefficients. This complication is bypassed making use of the equivalence of the sigma model to the infinite-level limit of WZNW models perturbed by current-current interactions, such that one can use conformal symmetry and conformal perturbation theory for systematic calculations. Presently, to set up the formalism, we consider the $O(3)$ sigma model which is reformulated as perturbed parafermions.

1 Introduction

The (regularized) S-matrix of planar maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory is equivalent to the expectation value of the super Wilson loop W_N living on a null polygonal contour with its sides determined by the on-shell external particles' momenta [1, 2, 3]. Making use of this duality, the calculation of the expectation value can be cast in a systematic framework of the operator product expansion (OPE) [4, 5] that allows one to determine the exact dependence on the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g_{\text{YM}}^2 N_c / (4\pi)^2$. A generic representation of W_N for a particular tessellation reads

$$W_N = \sum_{\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{N-5}} P(0|\mathbf{U}_{N-5}) \dots P(\mathbf{U}_2|\mathbf{U}_1) e^{-\tau_1 E_1 + i\sigma_1 P_1 + i\varphi_1 m_1} P(\mathbf{U}_1|0), \quad (1.1)$$

where the P 's are the pentagon transition amplitudes (see the left panel of Fig. 1 for a graphical representation)

$$P(\mathbf{U}|\mathbf{V}) = \langle \mathbf{V} | \mathcal{T} | \mathbf{U} \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

defined as the matrix element of an operator $\mathcal{T}$ between states of excitations propagating on the surface of the loop (or the world sheet of the evolving flux tube) parametrized by multidimensional vectors $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{I})$ that cumulatively stand for particles' rapidities and their O(6) indices with respect to the R-symmetry group. Each of the $N-5$ intermediate states is accompanied by phases describing their propagation, encoded in their energy E , momentum P and helicity m . From this representation, it is immediately obvious that the expansion finds its immediate application to the near-collinear limit, i.e., when $\tau_i \rightarrow \infty$, since one can limit oneself to the contribution with the lowest number of massive particle in each resolution identity. This is a good approximation for gluon and fermion excitations at any value of the coupling. However, this is not the case for scalars which develop an exponentially small mass at strong 't Hooft coupling and have to be resummed [6, 7, 8]. These are the only propagating degrees of freedom in this regime and their dynamics is governed by the two-dimensional O(6) sigma model.

The operator $\mathcal{T}$ defining the pentagon transition (1.2) can be viewed as a branch-point twist operator [6]. The latter is being known for quite some time [9, 10, 11] and has recently found its extensive use [12] in the computation of entanglement entropy at quantum critical points [13, 14]. However, in distinction with that application, the “number n of replica sheets” for the pentagon is a fractional number (see the right panel of Fig. 1), i.e., $n = \frac{5}{4}$, rather than being an integer. One finds oneself in an immediate predicament with their use, however, as there exists no explicit realization of twist field operators in terms of elementary local fields, except for fermions [9, 10, 11] and the computation of their correlation functions becomes less straightforward for asymptotically free theories. It is advantageous to look at the latter as conformal field theories (CFT) perturbed by relevant operators which drive them to strong coupling in the infrared regime. As a consequence one can use conformal invariance of the ultraviolet theory to constrain the correlation functions and find corrections making use of conformal perturbation theory. In fact, the formulation of sigma models as perturbed conformal field theories was suggested a long time ago [15]. In the present paper, we are going to start with the exploration of the O(3) sigma model which receives a dual description as perturbed model of parafermions [16, 17, 18].




Figure 1: The $N \rightarrow M$ particle pentagon transition (left panel) and its representation (right panel) as a twist operator insertion into a two-dimensional Euclidean world sheet with a branch cut running from the location of the operator insertion to infinity. The pentagon twist operator creates an excess angle $\frac{1}{4}(2\pi)$.

2 Field theory for parafermions

The $O(\mathcal{N})$ sigma model can be represented as an infinite k -level limit of the coset $O_k(\mathcal{N})/O_k(\mathcal{N}-1)$ Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) theory perturbed by a marginally relevant current-current operator [17, 18, 15]. For the $O(3)$ sigma model, discussed currently, the coset is a Z_k parafermion theory [17, 16] with an action S_{CFT} and a deforming interaction determined by an addendum [17, 18],

$$\delta S = -\kappa \int d^2 z \Phi(z), \quad \Phi(z) = \psi(z)\bar{\psi}(\bar{z}) + \psi^\dagger(z)\bar{\psi}^\dagger(\bar{z}), \quad (2.1)$$

where the measure $d^2 z = \frac{1}{2}dzd\bar{z}$ is decomposed in terms of (anti)holomorphic variables ($\bar{z} = z_2 - iz_1$) $z = z_2 + iz_1$. The parafermion currents ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ and their charge conjugates, defined by daggers, possess the mass dimension $\Delta_k = 1 - 1/k < 1$. As a consequence, the coupling constant κ has the dimension $2/k$. It is relevant and the theory flows along the renormalization group trajectory to strong coupling in the infrared.

The original parafermion theory is completely defined by the current algebra [17, 18]. However, since we are interested in the analysis of the perturbed model, we will aim at its path integral description so as to have a local field theory with simple Feynman rules for calculation of all correlation functions. It is well-known that Z_k CFT can be described as a gauged model of free fermions [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 27]. The $O_k(3)/O(2) \sim SU_k(2)/U(1)$ coset arises from the constrained fermion fields described by the action

$$S_{\text{CFT}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \bar{\psi}_{\alpha;m}^a (\delta^{ab}\delta_n^m \not{\partial}_{\alpha\beta} + i\delta^{ab}t_n^{I;m} \not{A}_{\alpha\beta}^I + i\delta^{ab}\delta_n^m \not{A}_{\alpha\beta} + i\tau_3^{ab}\delta_n^m \not{d}_{\alpha\beta}) \psi_\beta^{b;n}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here the non-dynamical gauge fields are merely Lagrange multipliers. They are integrated out in the path integral and provide the constraints in question. Namely, the kinetic term above possesses $U(2k)$ internal symmetry which is encoded by the $SU(2)$ internal symmetry indices $a = 1, 2$ and $n = 1, \dots, k$ of $SU(k)$. The first interaction term eliminates the $SU(k)$ while the second one the $U(1)$ currents, yielding level- k $SU_k(2)$ WZNW theory, $SU_k(2) \sim U(2k)/[SU_2(k) \otimes U(1)]$.

Finally, the last term involving the Cartan generator of $SU(2)$, eliminates the remaining $U(1)$ and results in the $SU_k(2)/U(1)$ coset theory.

We can perform a transformation in the path integral to eliminate the gauge fields and thus re-express the action by means of unconstrained free fermions χ . The (anti)holomorphic components of the (non-)Abelian gauge fields $W_\mu = (G_\mu, A_\mu, a_\mu)$ can be cast in an almost “pure gauge” form

$$W = i(\bar{\partial}U_W)U_W^{-1}, \quad \bar{W} = i(\partial\bar{U}_W)\bar{U}_W^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

For Abelian fields, these can be parametrized by the pseudoscalar and scalar fields σ, ρ and θ, ϕ , respectively, as follows

$$U_A = e^{-\theta-i\sigma}, \quad \bar{U}_A = e^{\theta-i\sigma}, \quad U_a = e^{-\phi-i\rho}, \quad \bar{U}_a = e^{\phi-i\rho}. \quad (2.4)$$

The σ, ρ fields are pure gauges and can be ignored. Performing the variable transformation in the path integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1^1 &= U_A U_a U_G \chi_1^1, & \psi_2^1 &= \bar{U}_A \bar{U}_a \bar{U}_G, & \bar{\psi}_1^1 &= \bar{U}_A^{-1} \bar{U}_a^{-1} \bar{U}_G^{-1} \bar{\chi}_1^1, & \bar{\psi}_2^1 &= U_A^{-1} U_a^{-1} U_G^{-1} \bar{\chi}_2^1, \\ \psi_1^2 &= U_A^{-1} U_a^{-1} U_G^{-1} \chi_1^2, & \psi_2^2 &= \bar{U}_A^{-1} \bar{U}_a^{-1} \bar{U}_G^{-1} \chi_2^2, & \bar{\psi}_1^2 &= \bar{U}_A \bar{U}_a \bar{U}_G \bar{\chi}_1^2, & \bar{\psi}_2^2 &= U_A U_a U_G \bar{\chi}_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

one can rewrite the partition function in terms of free fermion and scalar fields. We neglected here the $SU(k)$ index to avoid cluttering formulas. The above transformation is accompanied by a Jacobian¹. For the Abelian fields, it simply yields an extra scalar kinetic term, while for the non-Abelian ones, it produces the WZNW action [24, 25] with the central charge $C_{\text{WZNW}} = 2(k+1)(k^2-1)/(k+2)$. The gauge fixing procedure (2.3) induces a ghost action [26] with $C_{\text{ghost}} = -2(k^2+1)$. We will not need their explicit form in what follows.

Rescaling the scalar fields $\phi \rightarrow \phi/\sqrt{k}$ and $\theta \rightarrow \theta/\sqrt{k}$, we get the canonically normalized kinetic terms in the resulting action, which read

$$S_{\text{CFT}} = S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{ghost}} + S_{\text{WZNW}}, \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$S_{\text{free}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2 z \left(\partial\theta\bar{\partial}\theta + \partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + \bar{\chi}_1^a \partial\chi_2^a + \bar{\chi}_2^a \bar{\partial}\chi_1^a \right). \quad (2.7)$$

The central charge associated with it equals the number of degrees of freedom $C_{\text{free}} = 2(k+1)$. Combining the central charges for all components, one recovers the one for parafermions

$$C_0 = C_{\text{free}} + C_{\text{ghost}} + C_{\text{WZNW}} = 2\frac{k-1}{k+2}. \quad (2.8)$$

Finally, all operators in the parafermion theory will be built from gauge-covariant fermions [27, 28] by attaching a semi-infinite Wilson line to them stretching from their position all the way to infinity

$$\Psi(z) = \exp\left(-i \int_z^\infty dz \cdot \mathbf{a}(z) \tau_3\right) \psi(z), \quad (2.9)$$

¹It can be easily seen to arise from the path integral, schematically

$$\int D\psi D\bar{\psi} e^{-\int d^2 z \bar{\psi} \not{D} \psi} = \frac{\det \not{D}}{\det \not{\partial}} \int D\chi D\bar{\chi} e^{-\int d^2 z \bar{\chi} \not{\partial} \chi}.$$

which, when expressed in terms of free fermions, read cumulatively

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1^a &= e^{\sigma_a \varphi(z)/\sqrt{k}} \chi_1^a(z), & \Psi_2^a &= e^{-\sigma_a \bar{\varphi}(\bar{z})/\sqrt{k}} \chi_2^a(\bar{z}), \\ \bar{\Psi}_1^a &= e^{\sigma_a \bar{\varphi}(\bar{z})/\sqrt{k}} \bar{\chi}_1^a(\bar{z}), & \bar{\Psi}_2^a &= e^{-\sigma_a \varphi(z)/\sqrt{k}} \bar{\chi}_2^a(z),\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

with the sign factor σ_a being $(-1)^a$ and $\phi(z, \bar{z}) = \varphi(z) + \bar{\varphi}(\bar{z})$. The parafermion currents are written in their terms as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Psi_1^2(z) \bar{\Psi}_2^1(z), & \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Psi_2^2(\bar{z}) \bar{\Psi}_1^1(\bar{z}), \\ \psi^\dagger(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Psi_1^1(z) \bar{\Psi}_2^2(z), & \bar{\psi}^\dagger(\bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \Psi_2^1(\bar{z}) \bar{\Psi}_1^2(\bar{z}),\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

where the $SU(k)$ labels are not shown and are implied to be contracted pairwise. This form immediately demonstrates the advantage of the fermion representation. First, one can immediately reproduce parafermion operator product expansion [18] by merely applying the Wick theorem to the above free-field representation as reviewed in Appendix A. Second, in spite of the fact that constrained fermions do not endow the CFT model with an entirely free-field representation, as there is a leftover WZNW interacting sector, the latter will factorize from all correlations functions studied in this work. We should point out though that there exists a true free-field representation for the theory of parafermions as a scalar theory with a background charge [29], however, we will not use it in the current work.

3 Matching couplings

Before we proceed with the derivation of the anomalous dimensions of the twist field operators as a function of the perturbing coupling, we have to find the relation between the coupling constant of the $O(3)$ sigma model and the strength of the perturbing term in the parafermionic model, we will calculate the beta function in the latter theory by means of conformal perturbation theory. Employing invariance of the partition function under the renormalization group transformation,

$$\int [DX]_{|z|<a} e^{-S_{\text{CFT}} - \delta S} = \int [DX]_{|z|<L} e^{-S_{\text{CFT}} - \delta S},\tag{3.1}$$

we can immediately deduce the renormalized coupling

$$\kappa(L) = \mathcal{Z}(\kappa_0, L) \kappa_0, \quad \mathcal{Z}(\kappa_0, L) = 1 + \kappa_0^2 \mathcal{Z}_2(L) + \dots,\tag{3.2}$$

where $\kappa_0 = \kappa(a)$ at the ultraviolet cutoff a , $a < L$, which can be safely set to zero. Here the one-loop correction is determined by the integral

$$\mathcal{Z}_2(L) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 \frac{\langle \psi(0) \bar{\psi}(0) \psi(z_1) \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}_1) \psi^\dagger(z_2) \bar{\psi}^\dagger(\bar{z}_2) \psi^\dagger(\infty) \bar{\psi}^\dagger(\infty) \rangle_c}{\langle \psi(0) \bar{\psi}(0) \psi^\dagger(\infty) \bar{\psi}^\dagger(\infty) \rangle},\tag{3.3}$$

where only the connected part of the four-point function contributes to the integrand. The latter can be determined using the free-field Feynman rules emerging from field-theoretical representation discussed in the previous section. Introducing the dimensionless coupling $\kappa_R(L) = L^{2-2\Delta_k} \kappa(L)$, one can find the beta function for perturbed parafermion model as

$$\frac{d\kappa_R(L)}{d \ln L} = \alpha_1 \kappa_R(L) + \alpha_2 \kappa_R^3(L) + \dots, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{2}{k}, \quad \alpha_2 = L^{1-4/k} \frac{d\mathcal{Z}_2}{dL},\tag{3.4}$$

with

$$\mathcal{Z}_2(L) = \frac{2}{k^2} \int_{|z| \leq L} \frac{d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2}{|z_1|^{4/k} |z_2|^{2-4/k} |z_{12}|^{2-4/k}} = \pi^2 L^{4/k} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{4}{k}) \Gamma^2(1 + \frac{2}{k})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{4}{k}) \Gamma^2(1 - \frac{2}{k})}. \quad (3.5)$$

The explicit result for the integral was obtained following the recipe of Refs. [30, 31] (see also [32]). It is analogous to the ε -expansion [33], however, instead of the analytical continuation of the space dimension [34], one continues the central charge, or which is the same, the operator dimensions, so it is more alike the so-called analytical regularization [35].

For the case at hand, the regularization parameter is set by $\varepsilon = 1/k$. Rescaling the integration variables $z_2 = z_1 z$ ($\bar{z}_2 = \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}$), calculating the z_1 integral with a cutoff and using the result provided in Eq. (B.1) in Appendix B for the remaining z integral, one obtains the right-hand side in Eq. (3.5). The large- k dependence of the α_ℓ coefficients is in agreement with the result for the beta function of a perturbed CFT invariant under level- k Kac-Moody symmetry broken by current-current interactions [36, 37, 38, 39].

To find a relation between the O(3) sigma model coupling and the one of the parafermionic perturbation, we find the renormalization group trajectory for the latter, which reads to leading order accuracy $(mL)^{2/k} = \text{const} \times \pi \kappa_R(L)$ and match it in the $k \rightarrow \infty$ limit to the scale Λ of dimensional transmutation in the O(3) model,

$$\Lambda = L g^{2\beta_1/\beta_0^2} e^{1/(\beta_0 g^2)} (1 + O(g^2)), \quad (3.6)$$

quoted here to two-loop order [40, 41],

$$\beta(g) = \frac{dg^2(L)}{d \ln L} = -\beta_0 g^4 - \beta_1 g^6 + \dots, \quad \beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}, \quad \beta_1 = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

The relation between the mass m and Λ in the MS scheme $m = \frac{8}{e} \Lambda$ was found in Ref. [42], however, the relative constant will be inconsequential for the matching to the order we are interested in. This results in the relation

$$\kappa_R = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{4\pi}{kg^2} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Notice that we would obtain the same result have we used the so-called mass-coupling relation, that was found by Fateev and Zamolodchikov for the case at hand [18]

$$m = c_k^{k/2} (\pi \kappa)^{k/2}, \quad c_k = (4k)^{2/k} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{k})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{k})}, \quad (3.9)$$

identifying $\text{const} = c_k$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 1$.

4 Perturbative instantons in running coupling

Up to the order $\ell < k - 1$, there are only even powers of the coupling constant κ showing up in the beta function for the perturbed model of parafermions. As was noticed in the seminal paper [18], the order $\ell = k$ is peculiar since it provides the simplest topologically nontrivial contribution for the vacuum energy. Here we will consider its manifestation in the renormalization

of the coupling. The integral accompanying the relevant power of the coupling κ_0^{k-2} in the renormalization constant $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa_0, L)$ is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k-2}(L) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_{|z| \leq L} d^2 z_1 \dots d^2 z_{k-2} \frac{\langle \psi(z_1) \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}_1) \dots \psi(z_{k-2}) \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}_{k-2}) \psi(0) \bar{\psi}(0) \psi(\infty) \bar{\psi}(\infty) \rangle}{\langle \psi^\dagger(0) \bar{\psi}^\dagger(0) \psi(\infty) \bar{\psi}(\infty) \rangle}. \quad (4.1)$$

The ratio of the correlation functions can be represented as a Vandermonde determinant

$$\frac{\langle \prod_{i=1}^k \psi(z_i) \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}_i) \rangle}{\langle \psi^\dagger(z_{k-1}) \bar{\psi}^\dagger(\bar{z}_{k-1}) \psi(z_k) \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}_k) \rangle} = (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \frac{(k!)^2 |\det z_i^{j-1}|^{-4/k}}{k^k |z_{k-1,k}|^{4/(k-4)}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Because of the equivalence, $\psi_\ell^\dagger = \psi_{k-\ell}$, one can easily calculate the symmetry factor emerging from fermions $\langle \prod_{i=1}^k (\chi_1^2(z) \bar{\chi}_2^1(\bar{z})) (z_i) \rangle = k!$, where for consistency the condition $z^{2k(\bmod k)} = 1$ was implied. Relying again on the same regularization procedure as alluded to above, it corresponds to the introduction of a k -dependent regularization parameter to accommodate the case at hand

$$\frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{k_0} + \varepsilon_k, \quad (4.3)$$

where $k_0 = k-1$ with $1/\varepsilon_k = k(k-1)$. Rescaling the integration variables in (4.1) and evaluating the integral over the overall scale with an infrared cutoff L yields a factor $L^{2\varepsilon_k(k-2)(\Delta_k-1)} / (2\varepsilon_k(k-2)(\Delta_k-1))$ such that one can set $\varepsilon_k = 0$ in the accompanying $(k-3)$ -fold integral over the remaining coordinates. The last step allows one to immediately use generic Dotsenko-Fateev integrals [43, 44], which were demonstrated to reduce to products of Selberg integrals [45],

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k-2}(L) = \pi^{k-2} (k-2)_3 \left[\frac{k-1}{k} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{k-1})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{k-1})} \right]^{k-1} \frac{L^{2\varepsilon_k(k-2)(\Delta_k-1)}}{\varepsilon_k(k-2)(\Delta_k-2)}, \quad (4.4)$$

with the conventional notation for the Pochhammer symbol $(k-2)_3$. Performing naive subtraction of infinities, one finds the following correction to the beta-function (3.7)

$$\beta_{\text{inst}}(g) = c_{\text{inst}} \frac{e^{-4\pi/g^2}}{g^4}, \quad c_{\text{inst}} = 2^7 \pi^3 e^{-1-2\gamma_E}. \quad (4.5)$$

The dependence of the beta function on the coupling constant is consistent with explicit study of instantons in the renormalization of the coupling of the O(3) sigma model [46].

5 Twist operators and effective central charge

As we explained in the introduction, the twist operator generating pentagon transitions create an excess angle $\frac{1}{4}(2\pi)$, yielding a fractional number $n = \frac{5}{4}$ of two-dimensional theory replicas. Since the dependence on n is anticipated (and will be found) to be an rational function, we can work with integer n 's and then analytically continue to the value of interest. Thus, we consider an n -fold replication of the theory and introduce ramification points defined by the condition that when a field is brought around them it passes to the lower sheet of the Riemann surface,




Figure 2: Mapping the plane replicas into the cylinder under the conformal transformation $z = e^w$. The insertion of the twist operator merges n copies of the cylinder of radius $R = 2\pi$ into one of radius $2\pi n$. In this picture for simplicity of the representation $n = 3$.

as shown in Fig. 2 for the particular case of $n = 3$. The branching point corresponds to the insertion of the twist operator $\mathcal{T}$.

To find the scaling dimension of the operator $\mathcal{T}$ in the original parafermion CFT, it proves convenient to use the operator-state correspondence and map the complex plane into a cylinder. Conformal transformation to the cylinder $z = \exp(2\pi w/R)$ of radius R with the periodic coordinate $w \sim w + iR$, yields the expectation value for the energy momentum tensor in terms of the conformal anomaly $\langle T_{\text{cyl}} \rangle = -\frac{C}{24}(2\pi/R)^2$ and thus gives the Casimir energy $E = -\frac{C}{12}(2\pi/R)$. The dimension of the operator is given by the difference of vacuum energies $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}} = -R/(2\pi)[E_{-\infty} - E_{\infty}]$. Since the initial space is n copies of the cylinder the energy is $E_{-\infty} = -n\frac{C}{12}(2\pi/R)$, while after its insertion it merges the n copies into a single cylinder with circumference nR , so that $E_{\infty} = -\frac{1}{n}\frac{C}{12}(2\pi/R)$, such that

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{C}{12} \left(n - \frac{1}{n} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

Generally, the renormalization group flows of the central charge and the scaling dimension $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}$ in the perturbed theory are different [47] so that $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\kappa) \neq C(\kappa)(n - 1/n)/12$ as a function of the strength κ . However, the first term in $1/k$ -series is expected to be the same since it arises from the leading deviation from the CFT behavior.

Let us calculate the effective central charge $C(\kappa)$, using finite volume effects [48, 49]. Its perturbative expansion reads

$$C(\kappa) = C_0 + \sum_{\ell=1} C_{2\ell} \kappa^{2\ell}, \quad (5.2)$$

where the central charge of the parafermions is given in Eq. (2.8) and the expansion coefficients in conformal perturbation theory are [50, 51]

$$C_{\ell} = 24\pi \int_{z_1=1} d^2 z_2 \dots d^2 z_{\ell} \frac{\langle \Phi(z_1) \Phi(z_2) \dots \Phi(z_{\ell}) \rangle}{|z_1|^{2(1-\Delta_k)} \dots |z_{\ell}|^{2(1-\Delta_k)}}, \quad (5.3)$$

with the integrand defined by the correlation function is calculated on the infinite plane and the Jacobian stemming from the map from the cylinder. There are only even powers of κ showing

up in the expansion up to the order $\ell = k$. The multipoint correlation functions can be easily evaluated with the free-field Feynman rules, e.g.,

$$\langle \Phi(z_1) \Phi(z_2) \rangle_c = \frac{1}{|z_{12}|^{4-4/k}}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\langle \Phi(z_1) \Phi(z_2) \Phi(z_3) \Phi(z_4) \rangle_c = \frac{24}{k^2} \frac{|z_{13}|^{4/k-2} |z_{14}|^{4/k-2} |z_{23}|^{4/k-2} |z_{24}|^{4/k-2}}{|z_{12}|^{4/k} |z_{34}|^{4/k}}, \quad (5.5)$$

such that the first two terms in the κ^2 expansion arise from the integral

$$\int_{z_1=1} d^2 z_2 \frac{\langle \Phi(z_1) \Phi(z_2) \rangle}{|z_1|^{2(1-\Delta_k)} |z_2|^{2(1-\Delta_k)}} = \frac{\Gamma^2(1 - \frac{1}{k}) \Gamma(-1 + \frac{2}{k})}{\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{k}) \Gamma(2 - \frac{2}{k})}, \quad (5.6)$$

while the second one is deferred to Appendix B due its lengthy form. Since we are after the large- k limit, all we have to do for higher order terms is to estimate their asymptotics as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We find for even ℓ

$$C_{\ell>0} = -\frac{12\pi^\ell}{k} + O(1/k^3). \quad (5.7)$$

Summing these up, together with the $O(1/k)$ term emerging from the expansion of the central charge (2.8), one gets

$$C(\kappa) = 2 - \frac{6}{k} \frac{1 + (\pi\kappa)^2}{1 - (\pi\kappa)^2} + O(1/k^3). \quad (5.8)$$

After substitution of the relation between parafermionic and sigma model couplings (3.8) and taking the limit, one deduces

$$C_{O(3)}(g) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C(\kappa) = 2 - \frac{3g^2}{2\pi} + \dots \quad (5.9)$$

This result, obtained here by resummation of conformal perturbation series, is in agreement with the result of Ref. [52] derived from the large- $\mathcal{N}$ study of the $O(\mathcal{N})$ nonlinear sigma model [53, 54]. The expression of the effective central charge and, as a consequence, of the anomalous dimension of the twist field can be cast in the form of the expansion in terms of inverse 't Hooft constant λ by means of its relation to the $O(3)$ coupling g , $g^2 = 1/(2\sqrt{\lambda})$.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we used the duality of the $O(3)$ model to the perturbed model of parafermions as a means to compute anomalous dimensions of branch-point twist operators. Starting with CFT in the ultraviolet, we used conformal perturbation theory to calculate its flow towards strong coupling in the infrared. In fact, to determine the leading order correction in the original sigma model coupling g , we had to resum all terms in the κ series accompanying the leading $1/k$ asymptotics in the Kac-Moody level k . This makes the derivation of higher order terms in g series quite involved.

The consideration of this work can be generalized to any $\mathcal{N}$ in $O(\mathcal{N})$ making use of the results of Refs. [15, 29]. However, it appears more fruitful to pursue the route of finding an

explicit realization of branch-point twist operators in terms of elementary fields building up the Lagrangian. While the fermionic sector was known since the inception [9, 10, 11], a proposal for scalar fields was put forward only recently [55]. We will report on this analysis elsewhere.

We would like to thank Ivan Kostov, Valya Petkova and Arkady Tseytlin for insightful communications. A part of this work was done while the author was visiting Universita di Bologna and he would like to thank Alfredo Bonini, Davide Fioravanti, Simone Piscaglia, Francesco Ravanini and Marco Rossi for warm hospitality and numerous discussions. This research was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under the grant PHY-1403891.

A Parafermion algebra

The free-field representation

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\ell(z) &= \sqrt{\frac{(k-\ell)!}{k!\ell!}} e^{+2\ell\varphi(z)/\sqrt{k}} (\chi_1^2(z)\bar{\chi}_2^1)^\ell(z), \\ \psi_\ell^\dagger(z) &= \sqrt{\frac{(k-\ell)!}{k!\ell!}} e^{-2\ell\varphi(z)/\sqrt{k}} (\chi_1^1(z)\bar{\chi}_2^2)^\ell(z), \\ \bar{\psi}_\ell(\bar{z}) &= \sqrt{\frac{(k-\ell)!}{k!\ell!}} e^{-2\ell\bar{\varphi}(\bar{z})/\sqrt{k}} (\chi_2^2(\bar{z})\bar{\chi}_1^1)^\ell(\bar{z}), \\ \bar{\psi}_\ell^\dagger(\bar{z}) &= \sqrt{\frac{(k-\ell)!}{k!\ell!}} e^{+2\ell\bar{\varphi}(\bar{z})/\sqrt{k}} (\chi_2^1(\bar{z})\bar{\chi}_1^2)^\ell(\bar{z}),\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

allows one to easily calculate the operator algebra [16]

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\ell_1}(z_1)\psi_{\ell_2}(z_2) &= C_{\ell_1,\ell_2} z_{12}^{-2\ell_1\ell_2/k} \psi_{\ell_1+\ell_2}(z_2)[1 + O(z_{12})], \\ \psi_{\ell_1}(z_1)\psi_{\ell_2}^\dagger(z_2) &= C_{\ell_2,k-\ell_1} z_{12}^{-2\ell_2(k-\ell_1)/k} \psi_{\ell_1-\ell_2}(z_1)[1 + O(z_{12})], \\ \psi_\ell(z_1)\psi_\ell^\dagger(z_2) &= z_{12}^{-2\ell(k-\ell)/k} [1 + O(z_{12})],\end{aligned}\tag{A.2}$$

where the structure constants are

$$C_{\ell_1,\ell_2} = \left[\frac{(k-\ell_1)!(k-\ell_2)!}{k!(k-\ell_1-\ell_2)!} \frac{(\ell_1+\ell_2)!}{\ell_1!\ell_2!} \right]^{1/2}.\tag{A.3}$$

B A couple of integrals

The two-point correlation function generates the integral

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \int d^2\mathbf{z} |z|^{2a} |1-z|^{2b}.\tag{B.1}$$

There are a several methods one can use to calculate integrals of this type. One is based on conventional Schwinger parametrization of “propagators” building up the integrand. Namely, making use of

$$|z|^{2a} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-a)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \alpha^{-a-1} e^{-\alpha|z|^2}.\tag{B.2}$$

Substituting this parametrization for both factors in the integrand, decomposing it in terms of holomorphic and antiholomorphic variables, $d^2\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{2}dzd\bar{z}$ and integrating the result with respect to, say, $\bar{z}$, one immediately gets

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(-a)\Gamma(-b)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha_1 \alpha_1^{-a-1} \int_0^\infty d\alpha_2 \alpha_2^{-b-1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz e^{-(1-z)\alpha_2} \delta(z\alpha_1 - (1-z)\alpha_2). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The the positivity of the integration range of the Schwinger parameters, the argument of the delta function restricts the interval of z to $0 \leq z \leq 1$. Rescaling the α variables as $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_1/z$ and $\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_2/(1-z)$, the integrand factorizes and one gets

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(-a)\Gamma(-b)} \int_0^1 dz z^a (1-z)^b \int_0^\infty d\alpha_1 \int_0^\infty d\alpha_2 \delta(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \alpha_1^{-a-1} \alpha_2^{-b-1} e^{-\alpha_2}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The first integral produces the Euler beta function, while the second gives the Euler gamma function yielding

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \pi \frac{\Gamma(-1-a-b)}{\Gamma(-a)\Gamma(-b)} \frac{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+b)}{\Gamma(2+a+b)}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The other way to find the value of this integral is to perform the reduction to a product of Selberg-type integrals, see, e.g., [45], following the procedure developed by Dotsenko and Fateev [43, 44]. It starts with the analytic continuation of the integral with respect to the second component z_2 of the Euclidean two-vector $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2)$ into the complex plane with the integration path running close to the imaginary axis

$$z_2 \rightarrow iz_2(1 - i0_+). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Introducing the light-cone variables $z_\pm = z_1 \pm z_2$, the z_- integral carries over information on the position of branch points, or which is the same, the deformation of the contour off the real axis,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz_+ z_+^a (1-z_+)^b \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz_- [z_- + i0_+(z_+ - z_-)]^a [1 - z_- - i0_+(z_+ - z_-)]^b. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Decomposing the entire z_+ axis into three intervals

$$\mathcal{S}_1^+ : -\infty < z_+ \leq 0, \quad \mathcal{S}_2^+ : 0 \leq z_+ \leq 1, \quad \mathcal{S}_3^+ : 1 \leq z_+ < \infty, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

imposes constraints on the position of the branch points and as a consequence yields the integration contours in z_- shown in Fig. 3. Only the second region gives the integration contour $\mathcal{S}_2^-$ that results in nonvanishing z_- integral. Namely, by deforming the contour as shown in the picture below the original ones, we pick up a discontinuity such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &= \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 dz_+ z_+^a (1-z_+)^b \int_{\mathcal{S}_2^-} dz_- z_-^a (1-z_-)^b \\ &= -s(b) \int_0^1 dz_+ z_+^a (1-z_+)^b \int_1^\infty dz_- z_-^a (z_- - 1)^b, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where here and below $s(\alpha) \equiv \sin(\pi\alpha)$. Making use of the well-known relation $\sin(\pi b) = -\pi/(\Gamma(-b)\Gamma(1+b))$, one verifies that the two results do coincide.




Figure 3: The integration contours $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^-$ arising from intervals in z_+ variable (top row). The deformation of the middle one picks up a discontinuity across the cut and produces nonvanishing contribution. The other two give zero.

Let us turn to the next term, i.e., the three-fold integral

$$\mathcal{J}_3 = \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_1 d^2 \mathbf{z}_2 d^2 \mathbf{z}_3 |z_1|^{2a} |1 - z_1|^{2b} |z_2|^{2c} |z_3|^{2c} |1 - z_2|^{2d} |1 - z_3|^{2d} |z_{12}|^{2f} |z_{13}|^{2f} |z_{23}|^{2g}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Performing the analysis identical to the above, there are six regions in z_+ variables that induce nonvanishing contributions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1^+ : & 0 \leq z_{2+} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq z_{3+} \leq z_{2+}, \quad 0 \leq z_{1+} \leq z_{3+}, \\ \mathcal{S}_2^+ : & 0 \leq z_{2+} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq z_{3+} \leq z_{2+}, \quad z_{3+} \leq z_{1+} \leq z_{2+}, \\ \mathcal{S}_3^+ : & 0 \leq z_{2+} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq z_{3+} \leq z_{2+}, \quad z_{2+} \leq z_{1+} \leq 1, \\ \mathcal{S}_4^+ : & 0 \leq z_{2+} \leq 1, \quad z_{2+} \leq z_{3+} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq z_{1+} \leq z_{2+}, \\ \mathcal{S}_5^+ : & 0 \leq z_{2+} \leq 1, \quad z_{2+} \leq z_{3+} \leq 1, \quad z_{2+} \leq z_{1+} \leq z_{3+}, \\ \mathcal{S}_6^+ : & 0 \leq z_{2+} \leq 1, \quad z_{2+} \leq z_{3+} \leq 1, \quad z_{3+} \leq z_{1+} \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

and corresponding integration contours in z_- variables that are exemplified in Fig. 4. Deforming the latter as shown in the middle graph in Fig. 3, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3 = & -2s(b)s(d) [s(d) + s(d + g)] J_1^- J_1^+ - 2s(b)s(d) [s(d + f) + s(d + f + g)] J_1^- J_2^+ \\ & - 2s(b)s(d + f) [s(d + g) + s(d + f + g)] J_1^- J_3^+ - 2s(d)s(b + f) [s(d) + s(d + g)] J_2^- J_1^+ \\ & - 2s(d) [s(b)s(d) + s(b + f)s(d + f + g)] J_2^- J_2^+ - 2s(d)s(b) [s(d + f) + s(d + f + g)] J_2^- J_3^+ \\ & - 2s(d)s(b + 2f) [s(d) + s(d + g)] J_3^- J_1^+ - 2s(d)s(b + f) [s(d) + s(d + f)] J_3^- J_2^+ \\ & - 2s(d)s(b) [s(d) + s(d + g)] J_3^- J_3^+, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

where there are just three Selberg-type integrals emerging from plus and minus components each of the the two-dimensional integrals. They read

$$J_1^+ = \int_0^1 dz_2 \int_0^{z_2} dz_3 \int_0^{z_3} dz_1 z_1^a (1 - z_1)^b z_2^c (1 - z_2)^d z_3^c (1 - z_3)^d (z_2 - z_1)^f (z_3 - z_1)^f (z_2 - z_3)^g, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$J_2^+ = \int_0^1 dz_2 \int_0^{z_2} dz_3 \int_{z_3}^{z_2} dz_1 z_1^a (1 - z_1)^b z_2^c (1 - z_2)^d z_3^c (1 - z_3)^d (z_2 - z_1)^f (z_1 - z_3)^f (z_2 - z_3)^g, \quad (\text{B.14})$$




Figure 4: Six contours generating contributions to four-point correlation function.

$$J_3^+ = \int_0^1 dz_2 \int_0^{z_2} dz_3 \int_{z_2}^1 dz_1 z_1^a (1-z_1)^b z_2^c (1-z_2)^d z_3^c (1-z_3)^d (z_1-z_2)^f (z_1-z_3)^f (z_2-z_3)^g, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$J_1^- = \int_1^\infty dz_2 \int_1^{z_2} dz_3 \int_1^{z_3} dz_1 z_1^a (z_1-1)^b z_2^c (z_2-1)^d z_3^c (z_3-1)^d (z_2-z_1)^f (z_3-z_1)^f (z_2-z_3)^g, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$J_2^- = \int_1^\infty dz_2 \int_1^{z_2} dz_3 \int_{z_3}^{z_2} dz_1 z_1^a (z_1-1)^b z_2^c (z_2-1)^d z_3^c (z_3-1)^d (z_2-z_1)^f (z_1-z_3)^f (z_2-z_3)^g, \quad (\text{B.17})$$

$$J_3^- = \int_1^\infty dz_2 \int_1^{z_2} dz_3 \int_{z_2}^\infty dz_1 z_1^a (z_1-1)^b z_2^c (z_2-1)^d z_3^c (z_3-1)^d (z_1-z_2)^f (z_1-z_3)^f (z_2-z_3)^g. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

For brevity, we dropped the $\pm$ subscripts from the integration variables.

Analogously, one addresses higher point integrals. The analysis is tedious but straightforward.

References

- [1] L.F. Alday, J.M. Maldacena, “Gluon scattering amplitudes at strong coupling,” JHEP **0706** (2007) 064 [arXiv:0705.0303 [hep-th]].
- [2] J.M. Drummond, J. Henn, G.P. Korchemsky, E. Sokatchev, “On planar gluon amplitudes/Wilson loops duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **795** (2008) 52 [arXiv:0709.2368 [hep-th]].
- [3] A. Brandhuber, P. Heslop, G. Travaglini, “MHV amplitudes in N=4 super Yang-Mills and Wilson loops,” Nucl. Phys. B **794** (2008) 231 [arXiv:0707.1153 [hep-th]].

- [4] B. Basso, A. Sever, P. Vieira, “Spacetime and flux tube S-matrices at finite coupling for N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 9, 091602 [arXiv:1303.1396 [hep-th]].
- [5] L.F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, J. Maldacena, A. Sever, P. Vieira, “An operator product expansion for polygonal null Wilson loops,” *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 088 [arXiv:1006.2788 [hep-th]].
- [6] B. Basso, A. Sever, P. Vieira, “Collinear limit of scattering amplitudes at strong coupling,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 261604 [arXiv:1405.6350 [hep-th]].
- [7] A.V. Belitsky, “Nonperturbative enhancement of superloop at strong coupling,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **911** (2016) 425 [arXiv:1512.00555 [hep-th]].
- [8] A. Bonini, D. Fioravanti, S. Piscaglia, M. Rossi, “The contribution of scalars to N=4 SYM amplitudes,” arXiv:1607.02084 [hep-th].
- [9] L.J. Dixon, D. Friedan, E.J. Martinec, S.H. Shenker, “The conformal field theory of orbifolds,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **282** (1987) 13.
- [10] V.G. Knizhnik, “Analytic fields on Riemann surfaces. 2,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **112** (1987) 567.
- [11] M. Bershadsky, A. Radul, “g-loop amplitudes in bosonic string theory in terms of branch points,” *Phys. Lett. B* **193** (1987) 213.
- [12] J.L. Cardy, O.A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, “Form factors of branch-point twist fields in quantum integrable models and entanglement entropy,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **130** (2008) 129 [arXiv:0706.3384 [hep-th]].
- [13] C.G. Callan, F. Wilczek, “On geometric entropy,” *Phys. Lett. B* **333** (1994) 55 [hep-th/9401072].
- [14] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, F. Wilczek, “Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **424** (1994) 443 [hep-th/9403108].
- [15] P. Fendley, “Sigma models as perturbed conformal field theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4468 [hep-th/9906036].
- [16] V.A. Fateev, A.B. Zamolodchikov, “Parafermionic currents in the two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory and selfdual critical points in Z(N) invariant statistical systems,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **62** (1985) 215.
- [17] V.A. Fateev, “Integrable deformations in Z(N) symmetrical models of conformal quantum field theory,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6** (1991) 2109.
- [18] V.A. Fateev, A.B. Zamolodchikov, “Integrable perturbations of Z(N) parafermion models and O(3) sigma model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **271** (1991) 91.
- [19] E. Witten, “Nonabelian bosonization in two-dimensions,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92** (1984) 455.

- [20] K. Bardakci, E. Rabinovici, B. Saering, “String models with $c < 1$ components,” Nucl. Phys. B **299** (1988) 151.
- [21] S.G. Naculich, H.J. Schnitzer, “Constructive methods for higher genus correlation functions of level one simply laced WZW models,” Nucl. Phys. B **332** (1990) 583.
- [22] D.C. Cabra, E.F. Moreno, C. von Reichenbach, “Conformally invariant constrained fermion models,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5** (1990) 2313.
- [23] D.C. Cabra, E.F. Moreno, “Duality in deformed coset fermionic models,” Nucl. Phys. B **475** (1996) 522 [hep-th/9603138].
- [24] A.M. Polyakov, P.B. Wiegmann, “Theory of Nonabelian Goldstone Bosons,” Phys. Lett. **131B** (1983) 121.
- [25] R.E. Gamboa Saravi, F.A. Schaposnik, J.E. Solomin, “Path integral formulation of two-dimensional gauge theories with massless fermions,” Nucl. Phys. B **185** (1981) 239; “Towards a complete solution of two-dimensional Quantum Chromodynamics,” Phys. Rev. D **30** (1984) 1353.
- [26] D. Karabali, Q.H. Park, H.J. Schnitzer, Z. Yang, “A GKO construction based on a path integral formulation of gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten actions,” Phys. Lett. B **216** (1989) 307.
- [27] K. Bardakci, M.J. Crescimanno, E. Rabinovici, “Parafermions from coset models,” Nucl. Phys. B **344** (1990) 344.
- [28] D.C. Cabra, K.D. Rothe, “Fermionic coset realization of the critical Ising model,” Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 2509 [hep-th/9410221].
- [29] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov, A. Morozov, “Free field representation of parafermions and related coset models,” Nucl. Phys. B **328** (1989) 664
- [30] V.S. Dotsenko, M. Picco, P. Pujol, “Renormalization group calculation of correlation functions for the 2-d random bond Ising and Potts models,” Nucl. Phys. B **455** (1995) 701 [hep-th/9501017].
- [31] V.S. Dotsenko, “Off critical point by perturbation: the Ising model spin-spin correlator,” Nucl. Phys. B **314** (1989) 687.
- [32] A.W.W. Ludwig, “Critical behavior of the two-dimensional random q state Potts model by expansion in $(q-2)$,” Nucl. Phys. B **285** (1987) 97.
- [33] K.G. Wilson, M.E. Fisher, “Critical exponents in 3.99 dimensions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **28** (1972) 240.
- [34] G. 't Hooft, M.J.G. Veltman, “Regularization and renormalization of gauge fields,” Nucl. Phys. B **44** (1972) 189.
- [35] E.R. Speer, “Analytic Renormalization,” J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968) 1404; “On the structure of analytic renormalization,” Commun. Math. Phys. **23** (1971) 23, Erratum: [Commun. Math. Phys. **25** (1972) 336].

- [36] C. Destri, “Two loop beta function for generalized nonabelian Thirring models,” *Phys. Lett. B* **210** (1988) 173, Erratum: [*Phys. Lett. B* **213** (1988) 565].
- [37] A. Bondi, G. Curci, G. Paffuti, P. Rossi, “Ultraviolet properties of the generalized Thirring model with $U(N)$ symmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **216** (1989) 345.
- [38] D. Kutasov, “String theory and the nonabelian Thirring model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **227** (1989) 68.
- [39] A.W.W. Ludwig, K.J. Wiese, “The four loop beta function in the 2-D nonabelian Thirring model, and comparison with its conjectured ‘exact’ form,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **661** (2003) 577 [[cond-mat/0211531](#)].
- [40] A.M. Polyakov, “Interaction of Goldstone particles in two-dimensions. Applications to ferromagnets and massive Yang-Mills fields,” *Phys. Lett. B* **59** (1975) 79.
- [41] E. Brezin, J. Zinn-Justin, “Spontaneous breakdown of continuous symmetries near two-dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **14** (1976) 3110;
E. Brezin, J. Zinn-Justin, J.C. Le Guillou, “Renormalization of the nonlinear sigma model in $(2 + \epsilon)$ dimension,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 2615.
- [42] P. Hasenfratz, M. Maggiore, F. Niedermayer, “The exact mass gap of the $O(3)$ and $O(4)$ nonlinear sigma models in $d = 2$,” *Phys. Lett. B* **245** (1990) 522;
P. Hasenfratz, F. Niedermayer, “The exact mass gap of the $O(N)$ sigma model for arbitrary $N \geq 3$ in $d = 2$,” *Phys. Lett. B* **245** (1990) 529.
- [43] V.S. Dotsenko, V.A. Fateev, “Conformal algebra and multipoint correlation functions in two-dimensional statistical models,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **240** (1984) 312; “Four point correlation functions and the operator algebra in the two-dimensional conformal invariant theories with the central charge $c < 1$,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **251** (1985) 691.
- [44] V.S. Dotsenko, “Lectures on conformal field theory,” *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **16** (1988) 123 [<https://cel.archives-ouvertes.fr/cel-00092929/document>].
- [45] P.J. Forrester, S.O. Warnaar, “The importance of the Selberg integral,” *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **45** (2008) 489 [[arXiv:0710.3981 \[math.CA\]](#)].
- [46] M. Evans, “Coupling constant renormalization due to instantons in the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ model,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **208** (1982) 122.
- [47] O.A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, E. Levi, “Arguments towards a c-theorem from branch-point twist fields,” *J. Phys. A* **44** (2011) 492003 [[arXiv:1107.4280 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [48] H.W.J. Bloete, J.L. Cardy, M.P. Nightingale, “Conformal invariance, the central charge, and universal finite size amplitudes at criticality,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 742.
- [49] I. Affleck, “Universal term in the free energy at a critical point and the conformal anomaly,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 746.
- [50] H. Saleur, C. Itzykson, “Two-dimensional field theories close to criticality”, *J. Stat. Phys.* **48** (1987) 449.

- [51] A.B. Zamolodchikov, “Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz in relativistic models. Scaling three state Potts and Lee-Yang models,” Nucl. Phys. B **342** (1990) 695.
- [52] B. Basso, A.V. Belitsky, “Luescher formula for GKP string,” Nucl. Phys. B **860** (2012) 1 [arXiv:1108.0999 [hep-th]].
- [53] J. Balog, A. Hegedus, “Virial expansion and TBA in $O(N)$ sigma models,” Phys. Lett. B **523** (2001) 211 [hep-th/0108071].
- [54] H.J. Warringa, “Thermodynamics of QCD-inspired theories,” hep-ph/0604105.
- [55] O. Blondeau-Fournier, B. Doyon, “Expectation values of twist fields and universal entanglement saturation of the free massive boson,” arXiv:1612.04238 [hep-th].